

Power Leisure Bookmakers Limited response to Huntingdonshire District Council's Consultation on its draft Statement of Gambling Principles – Appendix 2

Paddy Power is Ireland's biggest Bookmaker and operates both a retail business through licensed betting offices and an online/telephone business. Paddy Power operates 251 licensed betting offices in Ireland and 325 betting offices in the United Kingdom.

Paddy Power is a leading national operator of betting premises with clear and proactive policies to promote the Gambling Licensing Objectives. Operators of premises licences have full authority to provide their services by the provision of an Operators' Licence granted by the Gambling Commission. The UK's gambling regulator has therefore approved the measures implemented by operators to ensure that effective anti-money laundering procedures are implemented and that policies have been developed that ensure responsible trading in accordance with gambling legislation, the licensing objectives and the Licence Conditions and Codes of Practice. Of particular relevance are the obligations and requirements now placed upon operators under the social responsibility provisions of the LCCP, which were introduced by the Gambling Commission earlier this year.

We refer the authority to the Regulators' Code, which was introduced by the Legislative and Regulatory Reform Act 2006 and provides the code to which the Authority must have regard. Specifically, regulators should avoid imposing unnecessary burdens and choose proportionate approaches to those they regulate and have mechanisms in place for consultation. The Code provides that before any changes in policy are implemented the effect that any proposed amendments may have on businesses should be considered and stakeholders should be engaged. Where local risks are to be addressed, an evidenced based approach should be taken.

Unnecessary burdens would include those which duplicate existing regulation. Licensing Authorities must therefore avoid approaches to regulation in their policy statements which mirror those already imposed by the Gambling Commission.

General Policy Commentary

Licensing Authorities are under the statutory obligation to aim to permit the use of premises for gambling so far as the authority believes that an application is reasonably consistent with the licensing objectives and in accordance with its own statement of principles. Authorities can request additional information in support of an application to assist with the determination in consideration of the above criteria. The draft statement of principles correctly identifies that unmet

demand is not a criterion that can be considered and that duplication with other regulatory regimes will be avoided.

Location and local area risk assessment

Under new Gambling Commission LCCP provisions, from April 2016 operators will be required to complete local area risk assessments that identify risks posed to the licensing objectives and how these should be mitigated. We refer the Authority to the Regulators' Code, which provides that in making an assessment of risk, regulators should recognise the compliance record of those they regulate and take an evidenced based approach to determining the priority risks in their area of responsibility. To ensure that better regulation principles are followed, operators should be allowed to assess their existing operational processes, informed by Statements of Principle, which highlight potential areas of particular sensitivity and known vulnerability. High risk areas must only be identified where empirical evidence is adduced that clear gambling related harm would be caused by the presence of gambling related premises. Identification of theoretical risk factors such as area demographics, proximity to certain premises, ethnicity and deprivation should only be included where local evidence is available, which quantifies the ascertainable risk to be mitigated. Any proposed measures to address risks identified should be proportionate, effective and tailored to specific concerns identified. All risks must be substantiated in order to prevent the implementation of a disproportionate regulatory burden upon operators. We believe the draft policy must be amended to follow these principles, as the suggested draft does not adhere to better regulation.

Where variations are made to existing permissions, additional measures should only be considered where empirical evidence suggests there is an actual risk to the promotion of the licensing objectives and that existing approved measures are insufficient to address those concerns. It may not be proportional for applicants or existing licence holders to actively engage in investigations for unique localised risk factors where problems which may be associated with gambling premises are not realised. Operators are under existing obligations to regularly review their policies and procedures incorporating risk assessment at a local premises level and, as such, it may not be appropriate for the Authority to prescribe the nature of such assessment as internal processes should already be responsive to evidence of changes in local operational risk profiles.

The Authority must consider the extensive policies, already implemented by operators, in accordance with the Gambling Commission's LCCP. Without evidence to suggest that such policies are insufficient to address concerns within local areas, a repeat analysis of standardised procedures within new applications will not be proportionate or necessary, as this would duplicate the requirements under operating licence provisions. For example, whilst obligations with regard to advertising practice, self-exclusion, age verification, training and the provision of appropriate

information are not conditions under sections 167 and 168 of the Gambling Act 2005, they are imposed as code provisions under the Licensing Conditions and Codes of Practice.

The draft policy confirms that the Authority will pay particular attention to the protection of children and vulnerable persons from being harmed or exploited by gambling. The policy also states that consideration will be given to the location of proposed premises in particularly sensitive locations along with those areas with known high levels of crime and disorder (section 7). In order to fully address any potential concerns, all risk profiles must be based upon factual evidence of gambling related harm in consideration of those measures already in place to mitigate actual rather than theoretical risk. Well managed and controlled premises, compliant with the Gambling Commission's LCCP, do not pose a gambling related risk to children and young people and additional measures, controls or conditions considered should not be imposed to address wider social issues. Any reference to vulnerability should specifically address evidence based risks of gambling related harm caused to individuals and populations identified. Any additional proposed measures to mitigate those risks will only be appropriate where they cannot be addressed by operators' existing measures and compliance with governing legislation.

When considering crime and disorder, although the policy identifies that there is a clear distinction between disorder and nuisance, the Authority must consider that nuisance was specifically rejected by Parliament as a licensing objective under the Gambling Act 2005. As part of any analysis of crime and disorder, the Authority must consider the prevalence of illegal gambling and ensure that any policies or controls proposed to address crime are proportionate to the existing operational procedures implemented and that they will effectively address any concerns identified.

Should the Licensing Authority contemplate introducing detailed policies regarding the location of specific gambling premises, thorough details should be provided for consultation with stakeholders at that time. Such consultation would permit the thorough assessment of the validity of any potential local area profiling that may be completed. Any evidence gathered should directly correlate with actual risks identified in those locations and appropriate assessment completed of any detrimental impact that any proposed gaming provision may have.

Any finalised policy must not suggest that gaming related applications pose an inherent risk to 'vulnerable people', regardless of status or evidence of actual harm. Where operators are asked to mitigate any perceived risks, sufficient parameters should be identified addressing the specific risks concerned relative to those individuals who may be at risk from the grant of any proposed application.

Primary Authority

Power Leisure Bookmakers Limited has established Primary Authority Partnerships with Reading Council. The primary authorities worked with each other and the Gambling Commission to develop a national inspection strategy to be implemented to help protect underage people from gambling. Such schemes enable a consistent approach to regulation and enforcement and provide a uniform standard.

Conditions

Mandatory and default premises licence conditions are already imposed on operators and the authority must consider that operators are required to uphold social responsibility. Additional conditions should only be imposed in exceptional circumstances where evidence based risks are identified and operators existing provisions are considered inadequate to specifically address those concerns.

Conclusion

We are committed to working in partnership with the Gambling Commission and local authorities to continue to promote best practice and compliance in support of the licensing objectives.